



**OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION
43RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT
MONROE COUNTY COURTHOUSE
610 MONROE STREET, SUITE 221
STROUDSBURG, PA 18360
(570) 517-3009
FAX (570) 517-3866**

**Cori Doughty
District Court Administrator**

**Jessica L. Spencer, Esq.
Deputy Court Administrator**

**Ellen DeMaio
Deputy Court Administrator – Special Courts**

**Kelly Koehler
Deputy Court Administrator**

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (TITLE II) POLICY FOR THE 43RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

The Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania (UJS) and the Forty-Third Judicial District of Pennsylvania (hereinafter “the Court”) comply with Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) which provides that “no qualified individual with a disability shall, by reason of such disability, be excluded from participation in or be denied the benefits of the services, programs, or activities of a public entity, or be subjected to discrimination by any such entity”. 42 U.S.C.A. §12132. Pursuant to that requirement, if you are an individual with a disability who needs an accommodation in order to file court documents or participate in any judicial proceeding or other service, program, or activity of the Court, you are entitled, at no cost to you, to the provision of certain assistance. The ADA does not require the Court to take any action that would fundamentally alter the nature of its programs or services or impose an undue financial or administrative burden. If your accommodation request relates to court business you have with the Prothonotary, Clerk of Court, District Attorney, Public Defender, or other court-related office, it will be referred to the applicable entity.

If you require an accommodation under Title II of the ADA, it is recommended that you make your request as soon as possible or at least three (3) business days before your scheduled participation in any court proceeding, program, or activity. All requests for accommodation, regardless of timeliness, will be given due consideration and if necessary, may require an interactive process between the requestor and the Court to determine the best course of action.

To request a reasonable accommodation, please complete the *Request for Reasonable Accommodation Form* and return it to the ADA Coordinator:

Kelly Koehler
Deputy Court Administrator
Court of Common Pleas
610 Monroe Street
Stroudsburg, PA 18360

Email: kkoehler1@monroepacourts.us

Phone: 570-517-3009
Fax: 570-517-3866

If you need assistance completing this form, contact the ADA Coordinator. Complaints alleging violations of Title II under the ADA may be filed pursuant to the ADA Grievance Procedure with Cori Doughty, District Court Administrator at 610 Monroe Street Suite 221, Stroudsburg, PA 18360. A response will be sent to you after careful review of the facts.

Addendum ADA Policy for the 43rd Judicial District

It is the policy of this judicial district to prohibit discrimination against all individuals—including those with substance use disorder—in accessing or participating in judicial proceedings or other Court services, programs, or activities.

The United States Department of Justice maintains that blanket or *per se* bans barring or otherwise limiting persons under court supervision (including pretrial probation and release, post-conviction probation and parole, and Problem-Solving Courts—including Adult, Juvenile, or Family Drug Court; DUI Court, Adult or Juvenile Mental Health Court; veterans Treatment Court; Domestic Violence Court) from accessing physician-prescribed medications and treatment is a violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

It is the policy of this judicial district to conform to the position of the United States Department of Justice in the following respects.

Absent an individualized determination, as more fully described below, no judge, unit, or member of this judicial district may prohibit or otherwise limit an individual's use of medication that they have been lawfully prescribed, and that they are taking as prescribed, to treat substance use disorder.

Decisions about whether a person should be prescribed medication, and about medication type and dosage, are to be made only by a licensed prescriber on an individualized basis.

No judge, unit, or member of this judicial district will interfere with a licensed prescriber's decisions about an individual's appropriate medication and treatment regimen.

No judge, unit, or member of this judicial district will express a preference for, or mandate, one medication over another nor in any way penalize or restrict an individual participating in a court proceeding or program from taking their medication as prescribed.

No judge, unit, or member of this judicial district will condition admission to, participation in, or successful completion of a Problem-Solving Court or other court program, service, or activity on reducing, weaning off, or abstaining from taking prescribed medication.

No judge, unit, or member of this judicial district will rely upon prior illicit use of medication for substance use disorder as grounds for prohibiting current use of medication for substance use disorder that comes from a licensed prescriber.

Individuals with substance use disorder who are participating in a court proceeding or program may be required to comply with the treatment recommendations of a licensed prescriber.

This Policy is not intended to interfere with appropriate exercises of judicial discretion in individual cases. To that end, nothing in this Policy limits a judge's discretion to order that an individual be evaluated for medical treatment or comply with a treatment plan as a condition of release, probation, supervision, or

participation in a Problem-Solving Court or other court or probation program. In issuing such an order, a judge should make an individualized determination, based on the information available, which may include an individual's criminal, medical, and probation history. An individual's previous illicit use of a medication is not grounds for prohibiting their use of that medication going forward as directed by their licensed provider.

Judges have the authority to monitor medication compliance in the context of a term of probation, supervision, or condition of release and to further the court's public safety obligation. When a judge is concerned about an individual's use or misuse of medication, the judge may act to mitigate and reduce the risk of abuse, misuse, and diversion of medication. In many cases, appropriate action will include, among other things, communication with the prescriber by a probation officer or other UJS personnel as directed by the judge.

Compliance with the ADA does not require that a court allow an individual to participate in, or benefit from, its services or programs if the person poses a "direct threat to the health or safety of others." 28 C.F.R. § 35.139. A determination that an individual poses a direct threat must be grounded in current medical knowledge or the best available objective evidence to ascertain: the nature, duration, and severity of the risk; the probability that the potential injury will actually occur; and whether reasonable modifications of policies, practices, or procedures or the provision of auxiliary aids or services will mitigate the risk. *Id.* A court may not conclude that an individual prescribed medication poses a "direct threat" based on generalizations or scientifically unsupported assumptions about medications or persons who are prescribed medication.

Individuals who believe there has been a violation of this Policy may file a grievance pursuant to the Grievance Procedure of the (UJS entity name here). (Link to Grievance Procedure and Form here.)